

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
11 COMPANY,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 v.

14 PACIFIC SUNSET VILLAGE
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,

15 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:16-cv-02174-KJD-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 60]

16 Pending before the Court is a proposed amended discovery plan. Docket No. 60. On
17 December 9, 2016, the Court entered a scheduling order with a discovery cutoff of April 24, 2017.
18 Docket No. 25. That deadline expired without extension, and the parties proceeded with filing
19 motions for summary judgment. *See* Docket Nos. 31, 34, 35. On March 29, 2018, or 11 months
20 after the close of discovery, those motions were denied without prejudice and this matter was
21 stayed. Docket No. 54.

22 Without any explanation, the instant discovery plan seeks 90 days to conduct discovery
23 and to disclose experts. *See* Docket No. 60. A request to reopen the discovery cutoff or any other
24 expired deadline in the scheduling order must be supported by both a showing of good cause and
25 excusable neglect. *See* Local Rule 26-4. A request to reopen discovery must also identify the
26 discovery completed, the discovery remaining, and an explanation why that discovery was not
27 completed by the previous deadline. *See id.* In this case, no showing of good cause or excusable
28 neglect has been made. The parties had a full discovery period to complete discovery and they

1 have provided no reason of any kind why the discovery period should be reopened, nor do they
2 comply with the other requirements of identifying the discovery completed and the discovery
3 remaining.

4 Accordingly, the proposed amended discovery plan is **DENIED**.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: June 12, 2019

7
8 
9 _____
10 Nancy J. Koppe
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28